

## STUDENTS' RATINGS ON TEACHER

Faculty Member: TAN HWEE XIAN  
 Department: DEAN'S OFFICE (SCHOOL OF COMPUTING) Academic Year: 2008/2009  
 Faculty: SCHOOL OF COMPUTING Semester: 2  
 Module: COMPUTER ORGANISATION - CS2100  
 Activity Type: TUTORIAL  
 Class Size / Response Size / Response Rate/ Contact Session/ Teaching Hour : 79 / 59 / 74.68% / 44 / 44

Qn	Items Evaluated	Fac. Member Avg Score	Fac. Member Avg Score Std. Dev	Dept Avg Score		Fac. Avg Score	
				(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)
1	The teacher has enhanced my thinking ability.	4.356	0.637	3.917 ( 3.907)	3.902 ( 3.886)		
2	The teacher provides timely and useful feedback.	4.424	0.593	3.953 ( 4.002)	3.958 ( 3.983)		
3	The teacher is approachable for consultation.	4.508	0.569	4.017 ( 4.061)	4.007 ( 4.026)		
4	The teacher has helped me develop relevant research skills.*	NA	NA	NA	NA		
5	The teacher has increased my interest in the subject.	4.237	0.751	3.773 ( 3.789)	3.756 ( 3.779)		
6	The teacher has helped me acquire valuable/relevant knowledge in the field.	4.356	0.663	3.910 ( 3.909)	3.900 ( 3.900)		
7	The teacher has helped me understand complex ideas.	4.424	0.593	3.916 ( 3.917)	3.894 ( 3.904)		
	<b><u>Average of Qn 1-7</u></b>	4.384	0.638	3.914 ( 3.930)	3.902 ( 3.913)		
8	Overall the teacher is effective.	4.424	0.593	3.974 ( 3.974)	3.962 ( 3.954)		

\* This includes skills in research methodology, research problems/questions, literature search/evaluation, oral presentation and manuscript preparation.

\*\* If Qn 4 is NA, it will not be included in the computation of average score (Average of Qn 1-7).

## Frequency Distribution of responses for Qn 8

ITEM\SCORE	Nos. of Respondents(% of Respondents)				
	5	4	3	2	1
Self	28 (47.46%)	28 (47.46%)	3 (5.08%)	0 (.00%)	0 (.00%)
Teachers teaching all Modules of the Same Activity Type (Tutorial), at the same level within Department	164 (26.75%)	314 (51.22%)	99 (16.15%)	27 (4.40%)	9 (1.47%)
Teachers teaching all Modules of the Same Activity Type (Tutorial), at the same level within Faculty	204 (25.31%)	413 (51.24%)	146 (18.11%)	34 (4.22%)	9 (1.12%)

Note:

1. A 5-point scale is used for the scores. The higher the score, the better the rating.
2. **Fac. Member Avg Score:** The mean of all the scores for each question for the faculty member.
3. **Fac. Member Avg Score Std. Dev:** A measure of the range of variability. It measures the extent to which a faculty member's Average Score differs from all the scores in the faculty member's evaluation. The smaller the standard deviation, the greater the robustness of the number given as average.

**4. Dept Avg Score :**

- (a) the mean score of same activity type (Tutorial) within the department.
- (b) the mean score of same activity type (Tutorial), at the same module level ( level 2000 ) within the department.

**5. Fac. Avg Score :**

- (c) the mean score of same activity type (Tutorial) within the faculty.
- (d) the mean score of same activity type (Tutorial), at the same module level ( level 2000 ) within the faculty.

## STUDENTS' COMMENTS ON FACULTY MEMBER

Faculty Member: TAN HWEE XIAN  
 Department: DEAN'S OFFICE (SCHOOL OF COMPUTING) Academic Year: 2008/2009  
 Faculty: SCHOOL OF COMPUTING Semester: 2  
 Module: COMPUTER ORGANISATION - CS2100  
 Activity Type: TUTORIAL

**Q9 What are the teacher's strengths?**

1. good.. caring nice..
2. Approachable and friendly for consultation.
3. --
4. She's effective and clear in her explanations. :)
5. She is nice caring and teaches the module thoroughly according to the pace.
6. Approachable for help and consultation.
7. Overall she is good in enhancing our thinking and understanding
8. very approachable and friendly
9. Very helpful.
10. shes very nice and accomodating
11. Encourage participation and able to explain questions clearly.
12. Teaches well. Friendly, approachable.
13. Very helpful and conscientious.
14. Explains the lesson well.
15. Patient. Makes sure that we understand the tutorial.
16. Very clear in discussing tutorial's questions. Patient and sweet looking. I wonder if there are students who come to tutorial only to see her:)
17. very clear at teaching.
18. explains clearly.
19. 1) approacable 2) friendly 3) understands her stuff and explains rather clearly
20. - Goes through the fundamental concepts in each question very clearly, step-by-step approach allows me to understand the idea better. Makes me feel more confident after the tutorial. (= - Very approachable and friendly. - Unassuming tutor.
21. helpful and able to give explanation for things i do not know.
22. She is nice (: And she tries her best to make us understand the concepts even though lesson time is limited.
23. Engaging in class. Able to grasp the gist for students to understand key ideas for the module.
- 24.
25. She is patient in answering our questions in tutorial class, able to explain the solution to the tutorial questions clearly. Very kind and friendly.
26. She is able to explain the tutorials effectively and make sure we understand.
27. Ms Tan is very clear in her explanations of subject matter, and able to help students to correct flaws in their approach to certain problems very effectively.
28. She is the best Teaching Assistant in Tutorial class, so far i came to School Of Computing. Always quite available to consult her, kind hearted and caring. => She got potential to be a great lecturer or great Teaching assistant =>
29. Very good- looking, beautiful, fascinated, wondergirl, awesome, pretty like Britney, impressive, \*.\* and enhance my ability for this module. I love her chocolate.

30. N/A
31. -sweet speaking voice
32. very lovely senior
33. Enthusiastic, friendly
34. very patient and kind
35. Very good at explaining the answers, and can help us to furtherly understand whats going on.
36. She can present solutions clearly, and teaches some useful trick to solve problems.
37. She is a dedicated tutor with a cheerful personality. She is a sweet and warm hearted lady and give motivation to her students by giving them some chocolates and offer some words of encouragement to the students she taught and this really helps a lot as this module is not easy to understand. So far she is the only TA that I have encountered so far to engage students to discuss and present solution to allow students to try and understand the topic well. She puts on a readily smile whatever she teaches and I believe this brighten out or liven out the class atmosphere since cs2100 is quite a boring subject to do. She greeted her students with a smile whatever they come in for the tutorial. She knows her stuff well and committed to make her students to understand a particular problem or concept before she moves on. Even if students who doesn't understand well in this topic, she will spend extra time to help out weaker students.
38. she clears my doubts when i don't understand the tutorial questions.
39. Approachable and friendly.
40. Her explanation in class is really very clear, and I can see that she is very patient with us despite that we are very slow in learning, plus that she is very willing to stay back after class even though there are no lesson already to answer our questions.
41. Pretty and smart.

**Q10 What improvements would you suggest to the teacher?**

1. Nothing
2. Nil
3. --
4. She should be more fierce haha!
5. She should continue becoming a tutor.
6. Be more confident.
7. So far so good.
8. Nothing to comment.
9. NA
10. Be more confident!=D
11. More active in forum. More confident in teaching.
12. 1) could have started to assign questions to students the week before to present their answers much earlier in the semester to avoid situations where most students do not volunteer themselves
13. - Perhaps try not to be nervous during first tutorial. haha!
14. -nil-
15. Sometimes she lacks of confidence. Don't!! Be more assertive to your students (:
- 16.
17. Sometimes, she do not need to explain the entire solution for the questions, she can just explain the key concepts use to solve the problem and move on to the harder questions. In this way, she can have more time in explaining the questions which are more difficult in the tutorial.
18. Not much of any improvements to be made as she is good as she is now.
19. N.A.

20. Please give us chocolate in every tutorial. ^^.
21. N/A
22. nothing to improve furthermore
23. Teach slower
24. May be she could be more strict to us.. In her class we feel free to discuss..
25. N.A.
26. I find that she tends to allow the same person to present the tutorial question and I think it does not contribute well to the class participation. As such I think she should get someone before hand to prepare for the tutorial question and to present the solution to everyone. In this way, I feel this will be fair and also it ensures students had the opportunity to present the solution. I think she needs to be firm.
27. she is good enough.
28. There is nothing much for her to improve, as i think she is really a very outstanding tutor already, just maintain as she is will do.
29. Sometimes talk a little bit too fast, and i feel lost totally.

## STUDENTS' NOMINATIONS FOR BEST TEACHING

Faculty Member: TAN HWEE XIAN  
Department: DEAN'S OFFICE (SCHOOL OF COMPUTING) Academic Year: 2008/2009  
Faculty: SCHOOL OF COMPUTING Semester: 2  
Module Code: CS2100 No of Nominations: 6

1. Very committed to teaching us. Sincere and approachable. Well prepared for every tutorial class. Encouraging and always offering her help.
2. She is very passionate about her teaching. She is very friendly and approachable. She is also never afraid to go the extra mile to find out more about the topic for her students.
3. very patient.
4. I think that she got the capability of leading and guiding the students to the right way of studying. All of her tutorial lesson , i am able to understand and learn something new about the topics.
5. the best of all the bests
6. I think she really a nice and approachable tutor, as she is willing to answer our questions on the expense of most of her personal time, and her explanations in class are real clear and detail.

The National University of Singapore has used reasonable endeavours to ensure that the information posted on this Web-site is correct at the time of posting. However, the University gives no warranty and accepts no liability for the accuracy or the completeness of the information provided.

In providing such student feedback, the University does not in any way, expressly or implicitly, endorse the views expressed or the contents thereof.