

STUDENTS' RATINGS ON TEACHER

Faculty Member: TAN HWEE XIAN

Department: COMPUTER SCIENCE

Academic Year: 2009/2010

Faculty: SCHOOL OF COMPUTING

Semester: 2

Module: INTRODUCTION TO COMPUTER NETWORKS - CS2105

Activity Type: TUTORIAL

Class Size / Response Size / Response Rate/ Contact

61 / 40 / 65.57% / 44 / 44

Session/ Teaching Hour :

Qn	Items Evaluated	Fac. Member Avg Score	Fac. Member Avg Score Std. Dev	Dept Avg Score		Fac. Avg Score	
				(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)
1	The teacher has enhanced my thinking ability.	4.375	0.540	3.827 (3.876)		3.849 (3.899)	
2	The teacher provides timely and useful feedback.	4.450	0.597	3.866 (3.924)		3.925 (3.955)	
3	The teacher is approachable for consultation.	4.513	0.601	3.951 (4.035)		4.000 (4.060)	
4	The teacher has helped me develop relevant research skills.*	NA	NA	NA		NA	
5	The teacher has increased my interest in the subject.	4.200	0.687	3.698 (3.771)		3.723 (3.803)	
6	The teacher has helped me acquire valuable/relevant knowledge in the field.	4.400	0.591	3.829 (3.889)		3.862 (3.925)	
7	The teacher has helped me understand complex ideas.	4.375	0.586	3.819 (3.848)		3.836 (3.882)	
	<u>Average of Qn 1-7</u>	4.385	0.603	3.831 (3.890)		3.865 (3.920)	
8	Overall the teacher is effective.	4.436	0.552	3.866 (3.924)		3.910 (3.948)	

* This includes skills in research methodology, research problems/questions, literature search/evaluation, oral presentation and manuscript preparation.

** If Qn 4 is NA, it will not be included in the computation of average score (Average of Qn 1-7).

Frequency Distribution of responses for Qn 8

Nos. of Respondents(% of Respondents)

ITEM\SCORE	Nos. of Respondents(% of Respondents)				
	5	4	3	2	1
Self	18 (46.15%)	20 (51.28%)	1 (2.56%)	0 (.00%)	0 (.00%)
Teachers teaching all Modules of the Same Activity Type (Tutorial), at the same level within Department	164 (27.11%)	276 (45.62%)	129 (21.32%)	27 (4.46%)	9 (1.49%)
Teachers teaching all Modules of the Same Activity Type (Tutorial), at the same level within Faculty	193 (27.18%)	334 (47.04%)	145 (20.42%)	29 (4.08%)	9 (1.27%)

Note:

1. A 5-point scale is used for the scores. The higher the score, the better the rating.
2. **Fac. Member Avg Score:** The mean of all the scores for each question for the faculty member.
3. **Fac. Member Avg Score Std. Dev:** A measure of the range of variability. It measures the extent to which a faculty member's Average Score differs from all the scores in the faculty member's evaluation. The smaller the standard deviation, the greater the robustness of the number given as average.
4. **Dept Avg Score :**
 - (a) the mean score of same activity type (Tutorial) within the department.
 - (b) the mean score of same activity type (Tutorial), at the same module level (level 2000) within the department.
5. **Fac. Avg Score :**
 - (c) the mean score of same activity type (Tutorial) within the faculty.
 - (d) the mean score of same activity type (Tutorial), at the same module level (level 2000) within the faculty.

Faculty Member: TAN HWEE XIAN
Department: COMPUTER SCIENCE Academic Year: 2009/2010
Faculty: SCHOOL OF COMPUTING Semester: 2
Module: INTRODUCTION TO COMPUTER NETWORKS - CS2105
Activity Type: TUTORIAL

Q9 What are the teacher's strengths?

1. explains concepts clearly, dedicated, approachable
2. Approachable and able to explain concepts well.
3. --
4. She's very pleasant and really easy to understand.
5. Approachable and very knowledgeable in this subject.
6. Humorous. Good preparation.
7. Very approachable.
8. nil
9. nice. good explainer.
10. explains concepts rather clearly. provides feedback to students tries to engage students during tutorial class
11. She is AWESOME! :)
12. Motivated, helpful, all out to help tutees.
13. pls win a best TA award. love attending tutorials. understood all explanations. btw, do u TA for 3103?
14. She is well-prepared for the tutorial.
15. Hweexian is a very good tutor. She know her stuff very well. She was able to give specific example to let us understand clearly. Perhaps she is once a student, can fully understand and use her way to teach us. Well done =)
16. Understandable
17. Teaches concepts well, approachable. Knows her stuff.
18. Able to express well, can teach all those difficult concepts very well =)
19. She is very friendly and approachable to clarify any doubts. She is also very patient towards students and will try her best to demonstrate the answers in a clear and understandable manner.
20. very very good teaching skills. enables me to understand all lecture materials easily
21. Provide a summary at start of the tutorial, explains concepts clearly and makes sure everyone in class understands.
22. Very clear explanation of concepts and she makes an effort to make sure students understand the concepts and her explanations.
23. The lessons are very interactive. Able to explain the concept well.
24. Very approachable! And she is always very positive and enthusiastic attitude! Like it a lot =)
25. very patient and goes through the tutorial thoroughly making sure that everyone understands the concepts. Also presents the concepts in an easy to understand way.
26. She uses concepts that everyone can relate to and hence remember things more clearly.

27. Very high communication skill. Very very helpful. One of the best tutors i have ever had in SOC! :) :)
28. She can explain concepts pretty well with simple analogies that we can understand and apply. Prompts the students in class to answer questions before giving the solutions. Approachable to any questions from students and is positive when teaching.

Q10 What improvements would you suggest to the teacher?

1. buy chocolates for us.
2. Nil
3. --
4. -
5. Have more confidence in yourself. :)
6. N/A
7. Nil
8. nil
9. keep up the good job => try to relax during tutorial class, cause you seem to be rather nervous when teaching the class. =>
10. Nothing really.
11. she is all good!
12. hmm, none. keep up the good job, all the best for ur PHD.
13. No comment. Good tutor
14. Nothing much , probably just have more examples, if time allows.
15. Summary can be more concise, because sometimes I find that the time spent on going through the summary slides is too much, leaving lesser time for the tutorial questions itself (causing the pace at the end of the class rushed).
16. Probably can slow down a bit
17. None
18. nothing to improve on, great as she is =>
19. N.A.
20. -Nil-

Faculty Member: TAN HWEE XIAN
Department: COMPUTER SCIENCE Academic Year: 2009/2010
Faculty: SCHOOL OF COMPUTING Semester: 2
Module Code: CS2105 No of Nominations: 10

1. she makes learning enjoyable and is able to explain complex ideas in a simple fashion that allows students to easily understand. Also, she takes effort to further explain if any students do not understand and actively responds to forum queries daily.
2. Excellent tutor. Very willing to help students. Knows what she is teaching and able to answer all student's questions. Goes the extra miles to help students.
3. GO girl! you deserve it. U have my vote!
4. She is a very dedicated tutor. Well-prepared for every single tutorials and approachable for consultation. Very helpful and resourceful.
5. Very good teaching, always well prepared, went beyond her scope of teaching. Good teacher.
6. She makes an effort to make every class a fruitful one, and makes sure everybody in the class understands the concepts. =)
7. very awesome tutor as she explains all the concepts clearly. and she makes lessons fun to attend!:)
8. To make this module, introduction to computer networks, interesting isn't an easy feat, however hwee xian manages to incorporate daily situations into excerpts for us to understand. apart from that, when I had pressing questions and emailed her hoping to have a prompt reply in maybe in the same day, hwee xian went the extra step to reply within minutes, which really impressed me as I needed to clarify my doubts asap.
9. She is very helpful for our study on CS2105. I can get a clear picture and better understanding after attending her tutorial.

The National University of Singapore has used reasonable endeavours to ensure that the information posted on this Website is correct at the time of posting. However, the University gives no warranty and accepts no liability for the accuracy or the completeness of the information provided.

In providing such student feedback, the University does not in any way, expressly or implicitly, endorse the views expressed or the contents thereof.