<p align="center">STUDENTS' RATINGS ON TEACHER</p>

Faculty Member:	TAN HWEE XIAN
Department:	DEAN'S OFFICE (SCHOOL OF COMPUTING)
Faculty:	SCHOOL OF COMPUTING
Module:	OPERATING SYSTEMS - CS2106
Activity Type:	TUTORIAL

Academic Year: 2005/2006

Semester: 2

Class Size / Response Size / Response Rate : 101 / 74 / 73.27%

Qn	Items Evaluated	Fac. Member Avg Score	Fac. Member Avg Score Std. Dev	Dept Avg Score (a) (b)	Fac. Avg Score (c) (d)
1	The teacher has enhanced my thinking ability.	3.959	0.671	3.981 (4.115)	3.917 (4.019)
2	The teacher provides timely and useful feedback.	4.014	0.731	3.991 (4.170)	3.964 (4.069)
3	The teacher is approachable for consultation.	4.135	0.728	4.043 (4.221)	4.023 (4.117)
4	The teacher has helped me advance my research (if applicable).	3.822	0.747	3.757 (3.913)	3.756 (3.847)
5	The teacher has increased my interest in the subject.	3.743	0.845	3.807 (3.927)	3.761 (3.861)
6	The teacher has helped me acquire valuable/relevant knowledge in the field.	4.027	0.740	3.960 (4.103)	3.912 (4.006)
7	The teacher has helped me understand complex ideas.	4.054	0.757	3.969 (4.120)	3.897 (4.013)
	Average of Qn 1-7	3.973	0.753	3.943 (4.093)	3.899 (4.000)
8	Overall the teacher is effective.	4.081	0.717	4.030 (4.187)	3.981 (4.073)

Frequency Distribution of responses for Qn 8

Nos. of Respondents(% of Respondents)

ITEM\SCORE	5	4	3	2	1
Self	21 (28.38%)	39 (52.70%)	13 (17.57%)	1 (1.35%)	0 (.00%)
Teachers teaching all Modules of the Same Activity Type (Tutorial), at the same level within Department	198 (33.00%)	329 (54.83%)	63 (10.50%)	7 (1.17%)	3 (.50%)
Teachers teaching all Modules of the Same Activity Type (Tutorial), at the same level within Faculty	234 (27.73%)	465 (55.09%)	124 (14.69%)	15 (1.78%)	6 (.71%)

Note:

1. A 5-point scale is used for the scores. The higher the score, the better the rating.

2. **Fac. Member Avg Score:** The mean of all the scores for each question for the faculty member.

3. **Fac. Member Avg Score Std. Dev:** A measure of the range of variability. It measures the extent to which a faculty member's Average Score differs from all the scores in the faculty member's evaluation. The smaller the standard deviation, the greater the robustness of the number given as average.

4. **Dept Avg Score :**
 (a) the mean score of same activity type (Tutorial) within the department.
 (b) the mean score of same activity type (Tutorial), at the same module level (level 2000) within the department.
5. **Fac. Avg Score :**
 (c) the mean score of same activity type (Tutorial) within the faculty.
 (d) the mean score of same activity type (Tutorial), at the same module level (level 2000) within the faculty.

STUDENTS' COMMENTS ON FACULTY MEMBER

Faculty Member:	TAN HWEE XIAN		
Department:	DEAN'S OFFICE (SCHOOL OF COMPUTING)	Academic Year:	2005/2006
Faculty:	SCHOOL OF COMPUTING	Semester:	2
Module:	OPERATING SYSTEMS - CS2106		
Activity Type:	TUTORIAL		

Q9   What are the teacher's strengths?

1. not taught by hwee xian
2. She is very helpful and patient. Overall, tries her best to explain difficult ideas.
3. She is enthusiatic about teaching and always ready to explain hard-to-understand topics. Her explanation is often clear and detailed, and she provides extra information that the textbook failed to provide, which enhances the students' understanding of the subject.
4. Enthusiasm
5. Has great attitude.
6. Friendly and Inspriring
7. n.a
8. hardly anything
9. She is very approachable and makes a very good tutor. She even help me with tutorial questions and explain to me things that I don't understand.
10. Very approachable. Go to great lengths to explain concepts.
11. very helpful
12. Excellent teaching skills
13. Very approachable and friendly
14. nil
15. Willing to help to clear my doubt. A good tutor.
16. Explains extremely well. Her use of diagrams and examples to describe makes the topic easy to understand.
17. Friendly
18. Able to explain concepts
19. ready to answer our doubts
20. She is friendly and helpful. If she is not sure about our questions, she would do researcha nd clarify our doubts during the next lesson.
21. Teacher is patient and ensures that everyone in class is following. Clear explaination on concepts.
22. She is competent and knowledgeable.
23. NA
24. She tries to explain the tutorials to the best of her abilities. even though some of the concepts were very hard to understand.
25. Willing to answer to student's queries

Q10   What improvements would you suggest to the teacher?

1. none
2. None
3. -

4. Be more confident in yourself.

5. Need to improve the way explaining the tut solution

6. none

7. poor in teaching experience, quite boring for this tutorial

8. More preparation would give students more confidence in you.

9. be more confident

10. None

11. Should teach more complex ideas and concepts.

12. nil

13. she can try to give more examples during class

14. More research sould be done to explain the concepts to the students more clearly

15. -

16. NA

17. N/A