

STUDENTS' RATINGS ON TEACHER

Faculty Member: TAN HWEE XIAN
 Department: DEAN'S OFFICE (SCHOOL OF COMPUTING) Academic Year: 2008/2009
 Faculty: SCHOOL OF COMPUTING Semester: 1
 Module: COMPUTER NETWORKS AND PROTOCOLS - CS3103
 Activity Type: TUTORIAL
 Class Size / Response Size / Response Rate/ Contact Session/ Teaching Hour : 25 / 14 / 56% / 26 / 17.6

| Qn | Items Evaluated | Fac. Member Avg Score | Fac. Member Avg Score Std. Dev | Dept Avg Score | | Fac. Avg Score | |
|----|---|-----------------------|--------------------------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|-----|
| | | | | (a) | (b) | (c) | (d) |
| 1 | The teacher has enhanced my thinking ability. | 4.643 | 0.633 | 3.906 (3.962) | 3.880 (3.865) | | |
| 2 | The teacher provides timely and useful feedback. | 4.571 | 0.646 | 3.957 (4.017) | 3.947 (3.921) | | |
| 3 | The teacher is approachable for consultation. | 4.714 | 0.611 | 4.038 (4.051) | 4.012 (3.961) | | |
| 4 | The teacher has helped me develop relevant research skills.* | NA | NA | NA | NA | | |
| 5 | The teacher has increased my interest in the subject. | 4.500 | 0.650 | 3.739 (3.864) | 3.736 (3.774) | | |
| 6 | The teacher has helped me acquire valuable/relevant knowledge in the field. | 4.500 | 0.760 | 3.913 (3.959) | 3.888 (3.859) | | |
| 7 | The teacher has helped me understand complex ideas. | 4.643 | 0.745 | 3.917 (3.952) | 3.871 (3.839) | | |
| | Average of Qn 1-7 | 4.595 | 0.661 | 3.911 (3.967) | 3.889 (3.870) | | |
| 8 | Overall the teacher is effective. | 4.714 | 0.611 | 3.984 (4.029) | 3.958 (3.918) | | |

* This includes skills in research methodology, research problems/questions, literature search/evaluation, oral presentation and manuscript preparation.

** If Qn 4 is NA, it will not be included in the computation of average score (Average of Qn 1-7).

Frequency Distribution of responses for Qn 8

| ITEM\SCORE | Nos. of Respondents(% of Respondents) | | | | |
|---|---------------------------------------|--------------|--------------|------------|----------|
| | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
| Self | 11 (78.57%) | 2 (14.29%) | 1 (7.14%) | 0 (.00%) | 0 (.00%) |
| Teachers teaching all Modules of the Same Activity Type (Tutorial), at the same level within Department | 97 (23.21%) | 245 (58.61%) | 68 (16.27%) | 7 (1.67%) | 1 (.24%) |
| Teachers teaching all Modules of the Same Activity Type (Tutorial), at the same level within Faculty | 147 (20.70%) | 385 (54.23%) | 155 (21.83%) | 19 (2.68%) | 4 (.56%) |

Note:

1. A 5-point scale is used for the scores. The higher the score, the better the rating.
2. **Fac. Member Avg Score:** The mean of all the scores for each question for the faculty member.
3. **Fac. Member Avg Score Std. Dev:** A measure of the range of variability. It measures the extent to which a faculty member's Average Score differs from all the scores in the faculty member's evaluation. The smaller the standard deviation, the greater the robustness of the number given as average.

4. Dept Avg Score :

- (a) the mean score of same activity type (Tutorial) within the department.
- (b) the mean score of same activity type (Tutorial), at the same module level (level 3000) within the department.

5. Fac. Avg Score :

- (c) the mean score of same activity type (Tutorial) within the faculty.
- (d) the mean score of same activity type (Tutorial), at the same module level (level 3000) within the faculty.

STUDENTS' COMMENTS ON FACULTY MEMBER

Faculty Member: TAN HWEE XIAN
Department: DEAN'S OFFICE (SCHOOL OF COMPUTING) Academic Year: 2008/2009
Faculty: SCHOOL OF COMPUTING Semester: 1
Module: COMPUTER NETWORKS AND PROTOCOLS - CS3103
Activity Type: TUTORIAL

Q9 What are the teacher's strengths?

1. able to explain difficult ideas
2. She's one of the tutor i love most. She's smart, funny and also very friendly. She provides feedback for me very quick and useful. She increases my interest in this module
3. Well prepared for the tutorial.
4. Hwee xian is a very good tutor and is approachable for consultation
5. put through ideas clearly.
6. A very friendly facilitator. She tries her best to clarify all our doubts.

Q10 What improvements would you suggest to the teacher?

1. -
2. nothing
3. Be more confident.
4. Overall she is a good TA.
5. nil
6. nil

The National University of Singapore has used reasonable endeavours to ensure that the information posted on this Web-site is correct at the time of posting. However, the University gives no warranty and accepts no liability for the accuracy or the completeness of the information provided.

In providing such student feedback, the University does not in any way, expressly or implicitly, endorse the views expressed or the contents thereof.